

11/19/2007 Meeting Evaluation

IBEM-IS State Clinical Leads

INSTRUCTIONS:

Please indicate your response to the following items using the scale provided. 1 equals “strongly disagree” and 5 equals “strongly agree” Your feedback is most sincerely appreciated.

	1 Strongly Disagree	2	3 Adequate	4	5 Strongly Agree
I was well informed about the objectives of this meeting					
The meeting activities stimulated my participation					
Interactive discussion time was sufficient					
The pace of the meeting was appropriate					
The presenters were well prepared					
The content is relevant to my work					
The group dynamics stimulated consideration of diverse views					
Participants reached consensus on:	1 Strongly Disagree	2	3 Adequate	4	5 Strongly Agree
recommendations for Region 4 IBEM-IS processes concerning enrollment					
recommendations for communicating about IBEM-IS implementation issues					
Role of the state clinical lead and expectations for increasing region-wide involvement					
Reporting state clinical lead activities					
Research rules and guidelines for use of materials					
Process for reviewing proposals					
Metabolic emergency plan data elements					
Recommendations concerning MEMSCIS					
All important views were represented at the meeting					
Overall, I am satisfied with the meeting					
The IBEM-IS will be useful to my clinical practice					
The workgroup accomplished the objectives of this meeting					
The meeting facility at this site was comfortable					

If you responded ‘strongly disagree’ to any of the preceding statements, please comment on those views here:

What was most productive/valuable about this meeting?

What was least valuable or most frustrating about this meeting?

Please offer any suggestions you have for improving our meetings in the future?

*Please return to Sally Hiner
Fax number 517/347-6189*